

**Designing for Cognitive and Emotional Sustainability: A UX Research Study of
Documentation Systems in Mental Healthcare**

Meryl Harden

Department of Media and Information, Michigan State University

UX 800 User Research and Design

Professor Mallory Anderson

April 25, 2026

Introduction & Background

Documentation systems are essential to mental healthcare and social work practice, serving functions such as legal compliance, case tracking, inter-agency coordination, and risk mitigation. These systems are typically structured around federal and institutional requirements, including frameworks such as CCWIS, which prioritize auditability and standardized reporting. However, while these systems fulfill administrative needs, they are frequently experienced by frontline workers as cognitively demanding, emotionally taxing, and misaligned with real-world workflows .

Mental health professionals operate in environments characterized by high caseloads, emotional labor, and constant context switching. Documentation is not a discrete task but an ongoing responsibility embedded within already complex workflows. As a result, inefficiencies in documentation systems contribute to cognitive overload, delayed task completion, and increased burnout risk.

This research investigates how documentation systems impact workflow, cognition, and emotional experience among mental healthcare professionals. The goal is to identify points of friction and explore how UX design interventions can improve both usability and long-term professional sustainability.

Research Objectives and Key Questions

The primary objective of this study is to evaluate whether documentation systems can be redesigned to reduce cognitive burden and support emotional sustainability while maintaining compliance requirements.

Key research questions include:

- Where do documentation workflows introduce cognitive and emotional strain?
- How do mental healthcare professionals currently manage documentation under pressure?
- What system-level factors contribute to task avoidance or delay?
- How can design interventions improve clarity, efficiency, and user experience without increasing pressure?
- Can behavioral and motivational design strategies improve engagement and perceived control?

These questions are grounded in the broader goal of aligning system performance with human capacity, rather than optimizing for compliance alone.

Summary of Methods and Participants

This study employed a mixed-methods UX research approach to capture both quantitative trends and qualitative depth. Methods included a survey, semi-structured interviews, usability testing, and observational analysis.

A structured survey was distributed to mental healthcare professionals to assess documentation workload, stress, and workflow challenges. The study aimed to collect at least 25 responses;

however, 7 responses were obtained within the project timeframe. Due to this limitation, survey findings are considered directional rather than statistically representative.

Five semi-structured interviews were conducted with practicing professionals, including social workers and therapists. These sessions explored lived experiences with documentation, focusing on cognitive load, emotional fatigue, and workflow inefficiencies.

Usability testing was conducted in two formats. A moderated test involved direct observation of a participant interacting with a low-fidelity prototype. An unmoderated remote test included 9 participants who completed tasks independently and reported on clarity, hesitation, and perceived effort.

Participants were selected based on their experience using documentation systems in mental healthcare or related fields. All participants had at least six months of relevant professional experience, ensuring familiarity with real-world workflows.

Key Findings and Evidence

Findings across methods consistently indicate that documentation is both cognitively demanding and emotionally taxing. Survey data shows that documentation requires significant time investment, often ranging from one to four or more hours per day. Many participants reported completing documentation after work hours, indicating a mismatch between workload expectations and available time. Participants also reported delaying documentation tasks, not due to lack of time, but due to emotional exhaustion and cognitive fatigue. This suggests that avoidance behaviors are driven by system strain rather than motivation alone.

Interview findings revealed that documentation creates cognitive fragmentation. Participants described needing to simultaneously recall case details, navigate system requirements, and meet compliance standards. This requires sustained attention and memory, contributing to mental fatigue. Qualitative responses further highlighted emotional strain. Participants described feelings of overwhelm, pressure, and disconnection from meaningful aspects of their work.

Documentation was often perceived as repetitive and detached from client care. Usability testing results indicate that users can navigate structured workflows but experience confusion at key interaction points. These include onboarding, unclear navigation cues, and gaps in workflow progression. While the system structure was generally effective, participants required clearer affordances and stronger alignment with real-world expectations.

Across all methods, a consistent pattern emerges: documentation challenges are not solely usability issues. They are the result of combined cognitive load, emotional strain, and system design priorities.

Synthesized Insights with Rationale

Several key insights emerge from the findings—

- First, cognitive load and emotional fatigue are interconnected. High mental demands increase exhaustion, which in turn leads to task avoidance and delayed completion. This

relationship is reinforced by patterns such as after-hours documentation and backlog accumulation.

- Second, current systems shift responsibility onto the individual user. Workers are expected to manage workload, regulate emotions, and maintain performance without systemic support. This framing contributes to burnout by treating structural issues as personal shortcomings.
- Third, documentation systems lack alignment with intrinsic motivation. When tasks are framed purely as compliance requirements, they fail to support autonomy, competence, and meaningful engagement. This reduces long-term sustainability and increases disengagement.
- Fourth, usability improvements alone are insufficient. Even well-designed interfaces cannot resolve issues related to cognitive capacity and emotional strain. Effective solutions must address behavioral and psychological dimensions of the user experience.

These insights are supported by existing research. Cognitive load theory explains how excessive mental demands impair performance (Sweller, 1988). Burnout research identifies workload and system design as key contributing factors (Maslach & Leiter, 2016). Self-determination theory emphasizes the importance of autonomy, competence, and relatedness for sustained motivation (Deci & Ryan, 2000). Social work research further highlights documentation burden as a contributor to burnout (Ratcliff, 2024).

Recommendations Tied to Research Evidence

Based on these findings, several design recommendations are proposed—

- Documentation workflows should be structured into guided, step-by-step processes. This reduces cognitive load by breaking complex tasks into manageable components and improving clarity.
- AI-assisted features such as transcription and structured templates should be integrated to reduce writing burden and memory demands. These tools should support user cognition rather than replace user control.
- Progress visualization should be implemented to provide clear feedback on task completion and workload. This can reduce uncertainty and support a sense of accomplishment.
- Emotional support features should be embedded within workflows. Examples include brief regulation prompts, reflection opportunities, and supportive feedback. These interventions should be optional and non-intrusive.
- Gamification strategies should be designed to support intrinsic motivation. Systems should reinforce autonomy, competence, and meaningful progress rather than emphasizing competition or productivity pressure.

These recommendations directly address the identified issues of cognitive overload, emotional fatigue, and disengagement, aligning system design with both user needs and organizational goals.

Limitations and Next Steps

This study has several limitations. The survey sample size was smaller than intended, limiting the generalizability of quantitative findings. As a result, survey data is used to support qualitative insights rather than provide statistical conclusions.

The study was also conducted within a limited timeframe, restricting the ability to conduct longitudinal research or observe behavior over extended periods. Additionally, participants were recruited through accessible networks, which may introduce selection bias.

Future research should expand participant recruitment to achieve a larger and more diverse sample. Longitudinal studies could provide deeper insight into how documentation behaviors evolve over time. Further usability testing with higher-fidelity prototypes would allow for more precise evaluation of design interventions.

Additionally, collaboration with organizations could provide access to real-world systems and performance metrics, strengthening the connection between research findings and implementation outcomes.

References

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The “what” and “why” of goal pursuits: Human needs and self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, 11(4), 227–268.

https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. *World Psychiatry*, 15(2), 103–111.

<https://doi.org/10.1002/wps.20311>

Ratcliff, M. (2024). Social workers, burnout, and self-care: A public health issue. *Delaware Journal of Public Health*, 10(1), 26–29. <https://doi.org/10.32481/djph.2024.03.05>

Sweller, J. (1988). Cognitive load during problem solving: Effects on learning. *Cognitive Science*, 12(2), 257–285. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15516709cog1202_4